

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313.1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/897,801	06/29/2001	Thomas C. Pinkerton	6794S-000019US	1264	
7590 03/02/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Donald R. Hol Harness, Dickey	lland		HAYES, MICHAEL J		
Suite 400	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
7700 Bonhomm St. Louis, MO	•		3763		
,			DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/897,801	PINKERTON, THOMAS	C.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Michael J. Hayes	3763			

Defense the Filing of an Annual Drief		L					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
-	Michael J. Hayes	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED <u>04 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(a) and the appropriate ext	encion fee have				
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MMENDMENTS							
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)			F (DTOL 224)				
 I. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. I. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:)	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, l because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will gavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	-						
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered b							
the double patenting rejection may not be a provisiona 09/606909. In view of possible future rejections in the patenting rejection is not withdrawn at this time.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).					
13. Other:		111/1/400	in the second				

Michael J Hayes Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3763